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Transition to Today’s Topic

• Last week we looked at machines that were optimized for running many thread-parallel programs in parallel.

• Today we are looking at how to run one program with many threads in parallel.

• Why is this harder?
Outline

• Overview of parallel machines (~hardware) and programming models (~software)
  • Shared memory
  • Shared address space
  • Message passing
  • Data parallel
  • Clusters of SMPs
  • Grid

• Parallel machine may or may not be tightly coupled to programming model
  • Historically, tight coupling
  • Today, portability is important

• Trends in real machines
A generic parallel architecture

Where is the memory physically located?
Is it connected directly to processors?
What is the connectivity of the network?
Centralized vs. Distributed Memory

Centralized Memory

Distributed Memory

Scale
What is a programming model?

- **Specification model** (in domain of the application)
- **Programming model**
- **Computational model** (representation of computation)
- **Cost model** (how computation maps to hardware)

**Is a programming model a language?**
- Programming models allow you to express ideas in particular ways
- Languages allow you to put those ideas into practice
• **Identify** concurrency in task
  - Do this in your head

• **Expose** the concurrency when writing the task
  - Choose a programming model and language that allow you to express this concurrency

• **Exploit** the concurrency
  - Choose a language and hardware that together allow you to take advantage of the concurrency
Parallel Programming Models

- Programming model is made up of the languages and libraries that create an abstract view of the machine
- Control
  - How is parallelism created?
  - What orderings exist between operations?
  - How do different threads of control synchronize?
Parallel Programming Models

- Programming model is made up of the languages and libraries that create an abstract view of the machine

- Data
  - What data is private vs. shared?
  - How is logically shared data accessed or communicated?
Parallel Programming Models

- Programming model is made up of the languages and libraries that create an abstract view of the machine
- Synchronization
  - What operations can be used to coordinate parallelism?
  - What are the atomic (indivisible) operations?
- Next slides
Segue: Atomicity

• Swaps between threads can happen any time
• Communication from other threads can happen any time
• Other threads can access shared memory any time

Think about how to grab a shared resource (lock):

• Wait until lock is free
• When lock is free, grab it

while (*ptrLock == 0) ;
*ptrLock = 1;
Segue: Atomicity

- Think about how to grab a shared resource (lock):
  - Wait until lock is free
  - When lock is free, grab it
  ```c
  while (*ptrLock == 0) ;
  *ptrLock = 1;
  ```
- Why do you want to be able to do this?
- What could go wrong with the code above?
- How do we fix it?
Parallel Programming Models

- Programming model is made up of the languages and libraries that create an abstract view of the machine
- Cost
  - How do we account for the cost of each of the above?
Simple Example

- Consider applying a function $f$ to the elements of an array $A$ and then computing its sum:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(A[i])
$$

- Questions:
  - Where does $A$ live? All in single memory? Partitioned?
  - How do we divide the work among processors?
  - How do processors cooperate to produce a single result?
Programming Model 1: Shared Memory

- Program is a collection of threads of control.
  - Can be created dynamically, mid-execution, in some languages
- Each thread has a set of private variables, e.g., local stack variables
- Also a set of shared variables, e.g., static variables, shared common blocks, or global heap.
  -Threads communicate implicitly by writing and reading shared variables.
  -Threads coordinate by synchronizing on shared variables.
Shared Memory

y = \ldots s \ldots

\begin{align*}
&\text{Shared memory} \\
&P_{0} \quad i: 2 \\
&P_{1} \quad i: 5 \\
&\text{Private memory} \\
&P_{n} \quad i: 8
\end{align*}
Simple Example

- **Shared memory strategy:**
  - small number $p \ll n = \text{size}(A)$ processors
  - attached to single memory

- **Parallel Decomposition:**
  - Each evaluation and each partial sum is a task.
  - Assign $n/p$ numbers to each of $p$ procs
  - Each computes independent “private” results and partial sum.
  - Collect the $p$ partial sums and compute a global sum."
Simple Example

- Two Classes of Data:
  - Logically Shared
    - The original $n$ numbers, the global sum.
  - Logically Private
    - The individual function evaluations.
  - What about the individual partial sums?

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(A[i])$$
Shared Memory “Code” for Computing a Sum

- Each thread is responsible for half the input elements
- For each element, a thread adds that element to the a shared variable s
- When we’re done, s contains the global sum
Shared Memory “Code” for Computing a Sum

- Problem is a race condition on variable s in the program

- A race condition or data race occurs when:
  - Two processors (or two threads) access the same variable, and at least one does a write.
  - The accesses are concurrent (not synchronized) so they could happen simultaneously
Shared Memory Code for Computing a Sum

Assume $A = [3, 5]$, $f$ is the square function, and $s = 0$ initially

For this program to work, $s$ should be 34 at the end

but it may be 34, 9, or 25 (how?)

The atomic operations are reads and writes

$+$= operation is not atomic

All computations happen in (private) registers
Improved Code for Computing a Sum

- Since addition is associative, it’s OK to rearrange order
- Most computation is on private variables
- Sharing frequency is also reduced, which might improve speed
- But there is still a race condition on the update of shared s

```
Thread 1
local_s1 = 0
for i = 0, n/2-1
    local_s1 = local_s1 + f(A[i])
local_s1 = local_s1 + f(A[n/2])
s = s + local_s1

Thread 2
local_s2 = 0
for i = n/2, n-1
    local_s2 = local_s2 + f(A[i])
local_s2 = local_s2 + f(A[n-1])
s = s + local_s2
```

```cpp
static int s = 0;
```
Improved Code for Computing a Sum

Thread 1

local_s1 = 0
for i = 0, n/2-1
    local_s1 = local_s1 + f(A[i])
lock(lk);
s = s + local_s1
unlock(lk);

Thread 2

local_s2 = 0
for i = n/2, n-1
    local_s2 = local_s2 + f(A[i])
lock(lk);
s = s + local_s2
unlock(lk);

• Since addition is associative, it’s OK to rearrange order
• Most computation is on private variables
• Sharing frequency is also reduced, which might improve speed
• But there is still a race condition on the update of shared s
• The race condition can be fixed by adding locks (only one thread can hold a lock at a time; others wait for it)
Machine Model 1a: Shared Memory

- Processors all connected to a large shared memory
- Typically called Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)
- SGI, Sun, HP, Intel, IBM SMPs (nodes of Millennium, SP)
- Multicore chips, except that caches are often shared in multicores

Note: $ = cache
Machine Model 1a: Shared Memory

- Difficulty scaling to large numbers of processors
  - \( \leq 32 \) processors typical
- Advantage: uniform memory access (UMA)
- Cost: much cheaper to access data in cache than main memory.

Note: $ = cache
Intel Core Duo

- Based on Pentium M microarchitecture
- Pentium D dual-core is two separate processors, no sharing
- Private L1 per core, shared L2, arbitration logic
- Saves power
- Share data w/o bus
- Only one access bus, share
Problems Scaling Shared Memory Hardware

- Why not put more processors on (with larger memory?)
- The memory bus becomes a bottleneck
  - We’re going to look at interconnect performance in a future lecture. For now, just know that “busses are not scalable”.
- Caches need to be kept coherent
Problems Scaling Shared Memory Hardware

- Example from a Parallel Spectral Transform Shallow Water Model (PSTSWM) demonstrates the problem
  - Experimental results (and slide) from Pat Worley at ORNL
  - This is an important kernel in atmospheric models
    - 99% of the floating point operations are multiplies or adds, which generally run well on all processors
    - But it does sweeps through memory with little reuse of operands, so uses bus and shared memory frequently
  - These experiments show serial performance, with one “copy” of the code running independently on varying numbers of procs
    - The best case for shared memory: no sharing
    - But the data doesn’t all fit in the registers/cache
Example: Problem in Scaling Shared Memory

- Performance degradation is a “smooth” function of the number of processes.
- No shared data between them, so there should be perfect parallelism.
- (Code was run for a 18 vertical levels with a range of horizontal sizes.)

- From Pat Worley, ORNL via Kathy Yelick, UCB
Machine Model 1b: Multithreaded Processor

- Multiple thread “contexts” without full processors
- Memory and some other state is shared
- Sun Niagara processor (for servers)
  - Up to 32 threads all running simultaneously
  - In addition to sharing memory, they share floating point units
  - Why? Switch between threads for long-latency memory operations
- Cray MTA and Eldorado processors (for HPC)
Machine Model 1c: Distributed Shared Memory

- Memory is logically shared, but physically distributed
  - Any processor can access any address in memory
  - Cache lines (or pages) are passed around machine
- SGI Origin is canonical example (+ research machines)
  - Scales to 512 (SGI Altix (Columbia) at NASA/Ames)
- Limitation is cache coherency protocols—how to keep cached copies of the same address consistent

Cache lines (pages) must be large to amortize overhead—locality is critical to performance
Programming Model 2: Message Passing

- Program consists of a collection of named processes.
  - Usually fixed at program startup time
  - Thread of control plus local address space—NO shared data.
  - Logically shared data is partitioned over local processes.

```
y = ...s...
```

![Diagram showing message passing between processes Po, P1, and Pn.](image.png)
Programming Model 2: Message Passing

- Processes communicate by explicit send/receive pairs
  - Coordination is implicit in every communication event.
  - MPI (Message Passing Interface) is the most commonly used SW

```
y = .. s ...
```

P0

```
| S: 12 |
| i: 2 |
```

P1

```
| S: 14 |
| i: 3 |
```

Pn

```
| S: 11 |
| i: 1 |
```

Private memory

Network

send P1,s

receive Pn,s

- First possible solution—what could go wrong?

  - Processor 1
    - $x_{local} = A[1]$
    - send $x_{local}$, proc2
    - receive $x_{remote}$, proc2
    - $s = x_{local} + x_{remote}$

  - Processor 2
    - $x_{local} = A[2]$
    - send $x_{local}$, proc1
    - receive $x_{remote}$, proc1
    - $s = x_{local} + x_{remote}$

- If send/receive acts like the telephone system? The post office?

- Second possible solution

  - Processor 1
    - $x_{local} = A[1]$
    - send $x_{local}$, proc2
    - receive $x_{remote}$, proc2
    - $s = x_{local} + x_{remote}$

  - Processor 2
    - $x_{local} = A[2]$
    - send $x_{local}$, proc1
    - receive $x_{remote}$, proc1
    - $s = x_{local} + x_{remote}$

- What if there are more than 2 processors?
MPI—the de facto standard

- MPI has become the de facto standard for parallel computing using message passing

- Pros and Cons of standards
  - MPI created finally a standard for applications development in the HPC community → portability
  - The MPI standard is a least common denominator building on mid-80s technology, so may discourage innovation

- Programming Model reflects hardware!
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    char idstr[32];
    char buff[BUFSIZE];
    int numprocs;
    int myid;
    int i;
    MPI_Status stat;

    MPI_Init(&argc,&argv); /* all MPI programs start with MPI_Init; all 'N' processes
      exist thereafter */
    MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&numprocs); /* find out how big the SPMD world is */
    MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&myid); /* and this processes' rank is */

    /* At this point, all the programs are running equivalently, the rank is used to
      distinguish the roles of the programs in the SPMD model, with rank 0 often used
      specially... */
if(myid == 0)
{
    printf("%d: We have %d processors\n", myid, numprocs);
    for(i=1;i<numprocs;i++)
    {
        sprintf(buff, "Hello %d! ", i);
        MPI_Send(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, i, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    }
    for(i=1;i<numprocs;i++)
    {
        MPI_Recv(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, i, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat);
        printf("%d: %s\n", myid, buff);
    }
}
else
{
    /* receive from rank 0: */
    MPI_Recv(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, 0, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat);
    sprintf(idstr, "Processor %d ", myid);
    strcat(buff, idstr);
    strcat(buff, "reporting for duty\n");
    /* send to rank 0: */
    MPI_Send(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, 0, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
}

MPI_Finalize(); /* MPI Programs end with MPI Finalize; this is a weak synchronization point */
return 0;
Machine Model 2a: Distributed Memory

- Cray T3E, IBM SP2
- PC Clusters (Berkeley NOW, Beowulf)
- IBM SP-3, Millennium, CITRIS are distributed memory machines, but the nodes are SMPs.
- Each processor has its own memory and cache but cannot directly access another processor’s memory.
- Each “node” has a Network Interface (NI) for all communication and synchronization.
Tflop/s Clusters

- The following are examples of clusters configured out of separate networks and processor components
  - 72% of Top 500 (Nov 2005), 2 of top 10
- Dell cluster at Sandia (Thunderbird) is #4 on Top 500
  - 8000 Intel Xeons @ 3.6GHz
  - 64TFlops peak, 38 TFlops Linpack
  - Infiniband connection network
- Walt Disney Feature Animation (The Hive) is #96
  - 1110 Intel Xeons @ 3 GHz
  - Gigabit Ethernet
- Saudi Oil Company is #107
- Credit Suisse/First Boston is #108
Machine Model 2b: Internet/Grid Computing

- SETI@Home: Running on 500,000 PCs
  - ~1000 CPU Years per Day, 485,821 CPU Years so far
- Sophisticated Data & Signal Processing Analysis
- Distributes Datasets from Arecibo Radio Telescope

Next Step—Allen Telescope Array
Arecibo message

Programming Model 2c: Global Address Space

- Program consists of a collection of named threads.
  - Usually fixed at program startup time
  - Local and shared data, as in shared memory model
  - But, shared data is partitioned over local processes
  - Cost model says remote data is expensive
- Examples: UPC, Titanium, Co-Array Fortran
- Global Address Space programming is an intermediate point between message passing and shared memory
Machine Model 2c: Global Address Space

- Cray T3D, T3E, X1, and HP Alphaserver cluster
- Clusters built with Quadrics, Myrinet, or Infiniband
- The network interface supports RDMA (Remote Direct Memory Access)
  - NI can directly access memory without interrupting the CPU
  - One processor can read/write memory with one-sided operations (put/get)
  - Not just a load/store as on a shared memory machine
    - Continue computing while waiting for memory op to finish
  - Remote data is typically not cached locally

Global address space may be supported in varying degrees
Programming Model 3: Data Parallel

- Single thread of control consisting of parallel operations.
- Parallel operations applied to all (or a defined subset) of a data structure, usually an array
  - Communication is implicit in parallel operators
  - Elegant and easy to understand and reason about
  - Coordination is implicit—statements executed synchronously
  - Similar to Matlab language for array operations

- Drawbacks:
  - Not all problems fit this model
  - Difficult to map onto coarse-grained machines

\[
A = \text{array of all data} \\
fA = f(A) \\
s = \text{sum}(fA)
\]

A: \[\begin{array}{c}
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\end{array}\]

\[f\] \downarrow 

fA: \[\begin{array}{c}
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\hline
\end{array}\]

\[\text{sum}\] \downarrow 

s:
Programming Model 4: Hybrids

- These programming models can be mixed
  - Message passing (MPI) at the top level with shared memory within a node is common
  - New DARPA HPCS languages mix data parallel and threads in a global address space
  - Global address space models can (often) call message passing libraries or vice versa
  - Global address space models can be used in a hybrid mode
    - Shared memory when it exists in hardware
    - Communication (done by the runtime system) otherwise
Machine Model 4: Clusters of SMPs

- SMPs are the fastest commodity machine, so use them as a building block for a larger machine with a network.

- Common names:
  - CLUMP = Cluster of SMPs
  - Hierarchical machines, constellations

- Many modern machines look like this:
  - Millennium, IBM SPs, ASCI machines

- What is an appropriate programming model for #4?
  - Treat machine as “flat”, always use message passing, even within SMP (simple, but ignores an important part of memory hierarchy).
  - Shared memory within one SMP, but message passing outside of an SMP.